

BRIDGEND COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

REPORT TO OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE 1

9 OCTOBER 2019

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF LEGAL AND REGULATORY SERVICES

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY – FEEDBACK FROM MEETINGS

1. Purpose of report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to present the feedback from the previous meeting of the Subject Overview and Scrutiny Committee 1 for discussion, approval and actioning.

2. Connection to corporate improvement objectives/other corporate priorities

- 2.1. This report assists in the achievement of the following corporate priority/priorities:

- **Supporting a successful economy** – taking steps to make the county a good place to do business, for people to live, work, study and visit, and to ensure that our schools are focused on raising the skills, qualifications and ambitions of all people in the county.
- **Helping people to be more self-reliant** – taking early steps to reduce or prevent people from becoming vulnerable or dependent on the Council and its services.
- **Smarter use of resources** – ensuring that all its resources (financial, physical, human and technological) are used as effectively and efficiently as possible and support the development of resources throughout the community that can help deliver the Council's priorities.

3. Background

- 3.1. All conclusions, recommendations and requests for additional information made at Overview and Scrutiny Committee meetings are sent to Officers for a response to ensure that there are clear outcomes from each topic investigated.
- 3.2. These are then presented to the relevant Scrutiny Committee at their next meeting to ensure that they have received a response.
- 3.3. For Subject Overview and Scrutiny Committees (SOSC), when each topic has been considered and the Committee is satisfied with the outcome, the SOSC will then present their findings to the Corporate Overview and Scrutiny Committee (COSC) who will determine whether to remove the item from the Forward Work Programme (FWP) or to agree it remains an item for future consideration and prioritisation.

4. Current situation/proposal

- 4.1. Members will recognise that capturing and assessing the impact of Scrutiny is not an easy task as the outcomes of Scrutiny activity are not always tangible and able to be measured in a systematic way.
- 4.2. Whilst 'outputs' like the number of recommendations approved by Cabinet or accepted by Officers may shed light, this does not reveal the extent to which the substance of the recommendations were actually implemented and whether or not intended outcomes were achieved.
- 4.3. Sometimes there are no measureable outputs from Committee discussion yet the opportunity for Cabinet Members and Officers to reflect on proposed courses of action has influenced the way in which the proposal was implemented. It is important to reflect some of the intangible effects of Scrutiny and its ability to influence decision makers through discussion and debate.
- 4.4. With this in mind, during the Overview and Scrutiny Workshops held in May 2019, Members discussed the regular feedback received from Officers in relation to Scrutiny Committee recommendations and comments. Evidence presented at the workshops indicated that there was a gap in the Scrutiny process for the follow up and actioning of recommendations to Officers which made it difficult to evidence what impact each Committee had achieved.
- 4.5. As a result Members agreed that a more effective process for considering and following up on feedback was required and recommended that the FWP and the feedback from meetings be presented to Scrutiny Committees as two separate items. This would firstly give the feedback more importance on the agenda and also an opportunity for the Committee to consider it in more detail.
- 4.6. This process will also take into account a previous recommendation made by Wales Audit Office whilst undertaking a review of Scrutiny - 'for the Council to ensure that the impact of scrutiny is properly evaluated and acted upon to improve the function's effectiveness; including following up on proposed actions and examining outcomes'.
- 4.7. It is recommended that the Committee approve the feedback and responses to the comments and recommendations prepared by Members at the previous meeting (Attached as **Appendix A**), allocate Red, Amber and Green (RAG) statuses to each recommendation where appropriate and action the feedback as needed.
- 4.8. The RAG status would consist of the following:

Red – where there has been no response;
Amber – where Members consider the recommendation/comment requires follow up action, for example where a recommendation has been accepted but there would be a need for follow up to see if it has been implemented;
Green – where Members consider a suitable response has been provided and no follow up action is required.
- 4.9. The Committee would then monitor these RAG statuses on an ongoing basis and action as they see appropriate. For Amber statuses, it is proposed that updates be provided after six months to allow time for the recommendation to be implemented.

4.10. It is further recommended that this process be used to monitor any feedback from Cabinet in response to any pre-decision scrutiny items that are then presented to them and any further formal recommendations that are sent from Scrutiny to Cabinet.

5. Effect upon policy framework and procedure rules

5.1. The work of the Overview and Scrutiny Committees relates to the review and development of plans, policy or strategy that form part of the Policy Framework and consideration of plans, policy or strategy relating to the power to promote or improve economic, social or environmental wellbeing in the County Borough of Bridgend.

6. Equality Impact Assessment

6.1. There are no equality implications arising directly from this report.

7. Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 implications

7.1. The well-being goals identified in the Act were considered in the preparation of this report. It is considered that there will be no significant or unacceptable impacts upon the achievement of well-being goals/objectives as a result of this report.

8. Financial implications

8.1 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

9. Recommendation

9.1. The Committee is recommended to consider the attached feedback and Officer's responses (**Appendix A**) and:

- a) Allocate RAG statuses where appropriate;
- b) Make any further comments in relation to Officer's responses.

K Watson
Head of Legal and Regulatory Services

Contact Officer: Sarah Daniel / Tracy Watson

Telephone: (01656) 643387

E-mail: scrutiny@bridgend.gov.uk

Postal Address Bridgend County Borough Council,
Civic Offices,
Angel Street,
Bridgend,
CF31 4WB

Background Documents: None